

Roundtable on the Responsible Recycling of Metals (RRRM) Steering Group (SG) Meeting

Meeting agenda and objectives:

May 10th, 2023

1. New introductions
2. Benchmark model and short list feedback decision
3. Outreach and SG member updates, including gold recycling definition
4. Working Group planning
5. Funding and budget
6. Next steps

Main decisions and actions summary

- The SG Agreed to change the title of the work to “mapping” to reflect that no ranking is being proposed
- Suggestions to improve model, e.g. collate some criteria will be considered and acted on.
- Mapping shortlist and final selection to be two-tiered with firstly, any criteria and requirements related to recycling within the main metal schemes being collated and presented without further assessment of the schemes. Secondly, recycling focused schemes (e.g. ISRI, BIR guidance, RIOS, IWA 19: 2017, e-stewards, R2, The EU Battery Directive) to be assessed using the fuller model.
- In person roundtable meetings supported. Looking at option linked to WRF 2023 4-6th Sept and the UNEP Global Intergovernmental Meeting on Minerals and Metals 7-8th Sept in Geneva

Actions agreed	Owner	Deadline
To provide its logo in a suitable format and a sentence in support of the Roundtable for its website and materials	SG members	Asap
Review the benchmarking (mapping) framework proposed and shared prior to the SG meeting	SG members who have not provided their reviews yet	May 12 th (asap if you have burning comments)
To review SG member comments and commence benchmarking (mapping) work	RRRM Secretariat	May 16 th
To prepare and share the member survey for distribution to SG members for their feedback	RRRM Secretariat	May 18 th
To review the survey prepared for RRRM members, other key stakeholders and suppliers	SG members	May 24 th
To review and take account of the SG feedback on the survey, and share it with relevant stakeholders	RRRM Secretariat	May 26 th
Please consider WG themes, ToR and involvement and potential leadership/sponsorship	SG members	May/June
Copper Mark and ResponsibleSteel offered to look at the opportunity to partner in an ISEAL innovation fund application	Secretariat/ The Copper Mark/ResponsibleSteel	June/July
Plan and confirm in person meeting – aiming for Sept linked to WRF 23, Geneva	Secretariat/WRF	May 26 th

Attendees

1. Nicole Hanson, London Metals Exchange (LME), Responsible Sourcing Manager
2. Louise Assem, International Copper Association (ICA), Global Material Stewardship Director
3. Marcus Gustafsson, Boliden, Health & Safety Development Manager & Acting Sustainability Manager
4. Veronica Tuazon, International Copper Association (ICA), Sustainable Development Analyst
5. Rory Meredith, ResponsibleSteel, Policy Manager
6. John Mulligan, World Gold Council, Director - Climate Change Lead and Market Relations



7. Aysha Lotter, South African Research Chair Community of Practice: Waste to Value
8. Hillary Amster, The Copper Mark, Director of Assurance and Impact
9. Anna Goldhofer, BMW Group, Circular Economy and Supply Chain Sustainability Specialist (Guest)
10. Stefanie Heerwig, BMW Group, Expert in Raw Material Strategy and Risk Management (Guest)
11. Dave Knight, One Planet, RRRM Facilitator
12. Umut Cantoru, RRRM Secretariat
13. Laura Crabtree, One Planet, RRRM Administration Assistant

Apologies/Absent

- Professor Sonia Valdivia, World Resources Forum (WRF), Scientific Director
- Andrew Stephens, Sustainable Shipping Initiative, Executive Director
- Michèle Brühlhart - The Copper Mark, Executive Director
- Patrick Davison, Mayer Environmental, Director (Subsidiary of European Metals Recycling - EMR)
- Annie Heaton, ResponsibleSteel, CEO
- Dr Marcel Christians, Wieland, Director Innovation & Sustainability
- Tyler Gillard, Responsible Business Alliance (RBA), Chief Strategy Office
- Ladin Camci, CARES (Constructional Steel certification body), Chief Technology & Sustainability Officer

Anti-Trust Declaration

Dave confirmed attendees were OK with the meeting being recorded and asked members to read through the anti-trust statement. He requested that if any member identified any anti-competition concerns in the meeting, they are to raise them and ultimately that the meeting would be stopped if they remain unresolved.